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# Abstract

Provide a brief description of the entire proposed project. What is the broad context, background and key issue(s)? Why is the research important to do? What is the purpose, concrete objectives, methodology and expected impact on the research field and your career?

Keep in mind that reviewers may not be specialists in your field. The summary should not be more than half a page.

The abstract is **not** included in the 7 (A4) page limit.

*The evaluation criteria listed in Table 3: “Evaluation criteria used by the review panels” in the full call text will always form the basis for the evaluation. The full call text also contains more detailed suggestions for the content of your application. The full call text is available for download from the website* [*www.dtu.dk/COFUNDpostdoc*](http://www.dtu.dk/COFUNDpostdoc)*.*

*Below is a description of the research plan requirements and of the evaluation criteria.*

*The research plan must not exceed the total* ***page limit of 7 (A4) pages incl. references****.*

*Minimum font size 10*

*Top and bottom margins 3 cm*

*Left and right margins 2 cm*

*Single-line spacing.*

**Excellence (weight 50%):**

**Scientific and technological quality of proposal (weight 25%)**

The evaluation criteria for the scientific and technological quality of the proposal are:

* Quality and relevance of the proposed research plan (objectives and state-of-the-art)
* Clear and relevant methodology
* Interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary aspects, including inter- sectorial relevance and/or secondment
* Originality/Innovative nature of the project (in relation to relevant state-of-the-art)

**Applicant (weight 25%)**

The evaluation criteria for the quality of the applicant are:

* Qualification and background of applicant (incl. non-academic work and career breaks)
* Research experience and results (patents, publications, teaching and other results)
* Collaboration with business partners (if relevant)
* Independent thinking, creativity, leadership and mentoring abilities
* Match between applicant and proposal

**Impact (weight 30%):**

The evaluation criteria for the impact of the project are:

* Contribution of proposal or applicant to European excellence and competitiveness through transfer of knowledge and innovation
* Potential impact on fellow’s career
* Potential to create long-term mutually beneficial collaborations in public and private sectors in- and outside of Europe
* Appropriateness of dissemination activities
* Transfer of knowledge in and outside Europe through conferences, publications, teaching, public outreach activities, and potential for exploitation.
* Gendered innovation

**Implementation (weight 20%):**

The evaluation criteria for the implementation of the proposal are:

* Overall coherence, effectiveness and appropriateness of the work plan (including realistic plans and contingency plans, milestones and expected results)